

TABLES OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLES OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF CASES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background of Studies	4
1.3	Problem Statements	7
1.4	Objective of Research	10
1.5	Scope and Limitations of Research	10
1.6	Research Methodology	12
1.6.1	Identifying the Research Issue	12
1.6.2	Literature Review	13

1.6.3	Data and Information Collection	13
1.6.3.1	Part I	13
1.6.3.1	Part II	14
1.6.4	Research Analysis	14
1.7	Significant of Research	15
1.8	Organisation of Thesis Chapter	16
1.8.1	Chapter 1: Introduction	16
1.8.2	Chapter 2: Dispute Review Board as An	16
1.8.3	Chapter 3: Legislation of Dispute Review Board	16
1.8.4	Chapter 4: Possibility of Implementing Dispute Review Board in the Malaysian Construction Industry	16
1.8.5	Chapter 5: Conclusion and recommendation	17

2 DISPUTE REVIEW BOARD AS AN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	Dispute Resolution in Construction Industry	18
2.3	Definition and Characteristic of DRB	23
2.4	History and Evolution of DRB in Construction Contracts	28
2.5	Types of DRB	34
2.5.1	Dispute Review Board	34
2.5.2	Dispute Adjudication Board	36
2.5.3	Combined Dispute Board	38
2.6	Unique Attributes of the DRB	39
2.6.1	Expertise of DRB members	41
2.6.2	Project familiarity	42
2.6.3	Real-Time Consideration of Disputes	42
2.6.4	Preserve Good Working Relations	43

2.6.5	Nonbinding Recommendations	45
2.6.6	Cost Effective and Efficient	46
2.7	Criticisms of DRB	46
2.8	Summary	48

3 LEGALISTION OF DISPUTE REVIEW BOARD

3.1	Introduction	51
3.2	Overview Legal Aspect and Jurisdiction of DRB	52
3.3	Formation of Valid Contract in DRB	59
3.3.1	Agreement	60
3.3.2	Intention to Create Legal Relation	61
3.3.3	Consideration	64
3.3.4	Capacity	66
3.4	Proliferation of Model DRB Provision	67
3.4.1	The DRBF and The “American Model”	71
3.4.1.1	DRBF Concept	72
3.4.1.2	Nine Elements of Dispute Review Board	73
3.4.1.3	The recommendation of the DRB	74
3.4.2	AAA DRB Rules	75
3.4.2.1	Neutrality	76
3.4.2.2	Recommendation	77
3.4.2.3	Detailed Rules	77
3.4.3	The FIDIC Model	77
3.4.3.1	Problem with the current FIDIC Form	78
3.4.4	World Bank Standard Bidding Document	82
3.4.5	ICC Dispute Board	83
3.5	Key Features of DRBs based on the Existing Model	87
3.5.1	Composition	87
3.5.2	Standing/ad hoc	88

3.5.3	Time limits	88
3.5.4	Jurisdiction and procedure	89
3.5.5	Decision/Recommendation	90
3.6	Legal Issues in DRB	90
3.6.1	Non binding recommendation that can become final	91
3.6.2	Admissibility of DRB recommendation	93
3.6.3	Standard for Removal of DRB members	95
3.6.4	Potential “Carve Outs” from the DRB’s jurisdiction	97
3.6.5	Subcontractor Claims and the DRB Process	99
3.7	Summary	106

4 POSSIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING DISPUTE REVIEW BOARD IN THE MALAYSIAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Part I: Framework of DRB Model for Malaysia Construction Industry	108
4.2.1	Nature of Dispute Boards Process	109
4.2.2	Creation of a DRB and Enforcement of DRB Provisions	112
4.2.2.1	DRB Specification and Three Party Agreement	112
4.2.2.2	Enforcement of DRB Provisions	113
4.2.3	Model DRB Provisions	114
4.2.4	Issues to be consider when drafting DRB Provision	114
4.2.4.1	Admissibility of DRB Recommendation	115
4.2.4.2	Right to remove DRB members and standard for removal	116
4.2.5	DRB Qualifications	116
4.2.6	Binding effect of DRB recommendation	116

4.2.6.1	Extending the time respond	116
4.2.6.2	Hybrid DRB's that issue recommendations	117
4.2.7	Limitation on the DRB 's jurisdiction	117
4.2.7.1	Subject matter curve-outs	118
4.2.7.2	Financial Limitation on DRB's Jurisdiction	118
4.2.7.3	Exhaustion of contractual claim procedures	119
4.2.7.4	Jurisdiction to conduct hearing in the absent of party	119
4.2.7.5	Duration of DRB's operation	120
4.2.7.6	DRB jurisdiction over subcontractor and subcontractor claim	120
4.2.6.7	Who decides the scope of the DRB's jurisdiction	121
4.2.8	Summary Part 1	121
4.3	Part II: Possibility of Implementation of DRB to Malaysia Construction Industry	131
4.3.1	Result of Interviews	131
4.3.1.1	Current practice of application of DRB mechanism in Malaysia	132
4.3.1.2	Relevant issues of the DRB in projects	133
4.3.1.3	Barrier to implementation of DRBs	134
4.3.1.4	Required conditions for adapting the DRB in Malaysia	136
4.3.2	Summary Part II	137
4.4	Summary	139

5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Introduction	140
5.2	Summary of Research Findings	140
5.3	Research Constraints	146

5.4	Future Research	146
5.5	Conclusion	147
REFERENCES		148
BIBLIOGRAPHY		
APPENDIX A		
APPENDIX B		
APPENDIX C		

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	The Nature of DRB	111
4.2	DRB Model Issues Comparison	124
4.3	Relevant issues of the DRB on Construction Projects	133
4.4	Barrier to implementation of the DRB in constructions projects in Malaysia	135
4.5	Required conditions for implementation the DRB in Malaysia	136
5.1	Summarizes DRB Model Issues related to Recommendations	144

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Dispute Resolution Stages and Steps	22
4.1	Nature of DRB	121
4.2	Elements in DRB Provisions	122
4.3	A Proposal Framework to Implementing DRB in Malaysia	130

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAA	American Arbitration Association
ADR	Alternative Dispute Resolution
AIA	American Institute of Architects
ASEAN	Association of South East Asian
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
DAB	Dispute Adjudication Board
DB	Dispute Board
DRB	Dispute Review Board
DRBF	Dispute Resolution Board Foundation
FIDIC	Fédération Internationale des Ingénieurs-Conseils
ICC	International Chamber of Commerce
ICE	Institution of Civil Engineering, UK
MBA	Master Builder Association Incorporation
NEC	New Engineering Contract
UK	United Kingdom
USA	United States of America